

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,087	,	02/22/2002	Futoshi Tanigawa	10059-406US (P27064-01)	2369
570	7590	01/10/2005		EXAM	INER
		RAUSS HAUER & F	YUAN, DA	YUAN, DAH WEI D	
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013			1745	
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,087	TANIGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 2/22/02 is/are: a)⊠ acc	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/22/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the section in the second	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	C	(770 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Dialoguist 1 at the Dialoguist (1995) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09162004</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087 Page 1 of 8

Art Unit: 1745

POSITIVE ELECTRODE ACTIVE MATERIAL, FOR ALKALINE STORAGE BATTERY, POSITIVE ELECTRODE USING THE SAME AND METHOD OF PRODUCING THE SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/081,087

Art Unit: 1745

January 6, 2005

Detailed Action

1. The Applicant's Request for Reconsideration filed November 17, 2004 was received.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on July 14, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hayashi et al. (US 6,358,648 B2) on claims 1-4,6-8 are maintained. The rejection is repeated below for convenience.

With respect to claims 1,3,4,6,7, Hayashi et al. teach a nickel electrode active material for alkaline storage batteries comprising nickel hydroxide. Nickel hydroxide is produced by mixing and stirring an aqueous nickel sulfate solution and an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution thereby depositing nickel hydroxide. The powders are then subjected to alkali treatment with one of aqueous sodium hydroxide solutions having different pH values (alkali treatment) to remove anions such as sulfate. Spherical powders, i.e., mean particle circularity is equivalent to 1, of solid solute nickel hydroxide incorporating therein one or two elements selected from the group consisting of cobalt, cadmium, zinc and magnesium are produced. The mean particle size of the resulting power is reported to be about 10 µm. Hayashi et al. further teach that the

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087 Page 2 of 8

Art Unit: 1745

resulting nickel hydroxide particles have better crystal growth and more homogeneous crystal along certain crystal plane than conventional nickel hydroxide. As a result, the decreases in the number of disordered crystals suggest uniform progress of charge reaction of nickel hydroxide to nickel oxyhydroxide. Thus, the positive electrode active material would invariably comprise nickel oxyhydroxide upon charging the battery. See Abstract, Column 3, Lines 51-67; Column 4, Lines 39-51; Column 6, Lines 39-46. Moreover, it is the position of the examiner that other properties of said material, such as BET surface area, particle size distribution and full width at half maximum of a particular crystallographic orientation, are inherent, given that the positive electrode active material disclosed by Hayashi et al. and the present application having similar chemistry and manufacturing procedures. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. Inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

Alternatively, Hayashi et al. have identified pH and mixing (stirring) of the solution as the processing variables in the fabrication of positive electrode active material. See Example 1. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the pH and the degree of mixing of the solution to yield nickel hydroxide or nickel oxyhydroxide powders of desired circularity and distribution of circularity. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art.* In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087 Page 3 of 8

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claim 2, Hayashi et al. teach the solid solution nickel hydroxide powders are produced by dissolving a sulfate of one or two elements, including cobalt, cadmium, zinc and magnesium, in the nickel sulfate solution. Therefore, the resulting positive electrode active material would have a cobalt compound on a portion of the surface. See Column 6, Lines 37-46.

With respect to claim 8, Hayashi et al. teach the positive electrode active material is first mixed with a cobalt powder, a cobalt hydroxide powder and a zinc oxide powder. Water is then added to the mixture and kneaded to make a paste, which is filled onto a foamed porous nickel substrate. See Column 5, Lines 11-20.

4. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kato et al. (US 6,083,642) on claims 1-4,6-8 are maintained. The rejection is repeated below for convenience.

With respect to claims, 1-4,6,7, Kato et al. disclose a positive electrode material for an alkaline storage battery. The active material comprises nickel hydroxide particles and a higher cobalt oxide (γ-cobalt oxyhydroxide). The positive electrode material is prepared by coating the surface of nickel hydroxide particles with the higher cobalt oxide. The nickel hydroxide particles are a solid solution material with one or more metallic element other than nickel, including cobalt, cadmium, and zinc. The solid solution nickel hydroxide particles with the cobalt oxide coating have an average particle diameter of 5 to 20 μm and a BET specific surface area of 5 to 12 m²/g. In one embodiment, an aqueous solution containing nickel sulfate as the main component and cobalt sulfate and zinc sulfate are mixed. An aqueous sodium hydroxide

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087

Art Unit: 1745

solution is slowly added dropwise while adjusting the pH of the solution with aqueous ammonia, thereby to deposit spherical solid solution nickel hydroxide particles, i.e., the circularity of the particles is 1. The solid solution nickel hydroxide particles with Co and Zn incorporated therein thus prepared are washed with water and then dried to obtained positive electrode particles. Also, Kato et al. reveal the presence of nickel oxyhydroxide in the positive electrode active material based on the X-ray diffraction and the spectral calorimeter studies. See Abstract, Column 4, Lines 22-42; 66 to Column 5, Line 5; Column 11, Lines 50-65; Column 6, Lines 39-46; Column 13, Lines 26-45; Column 29, Lines 1-12. Moreover, it is the position of the examiner that other properties of said material, such as particle size distribution and full width at half maximum of a particular crystallographic orientation, are inherent, given that the positive electrode active material disclosed by Kato et al. and the present application having similar chemistry and manufacturing procedures. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. Inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

Alternatively, Kato references have identified pH and temperature of the solution as critical processing variables in the fabrication of positive electrode active material. See Column 2, Lines 15-45. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the pH and temperature of the solution to yield nickel hydroxide or nickel oxyhydroxide powders of desired circularity and distribution of circularity. *Discovery of optimum value of result*

effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art. In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

With respect to claim 8, Kato et al. teach the positive electrode active material is first mixed with a cobalt powder, a cobalt hydroxide powder and a zinc oxide powder. Water is then added to the mixture and kneaded to make a paste, which is filled onto a foamed porous nickel substrate. See Column 11, Line 66 to Column 12, Line 10.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on November 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

- (a) U.S. 6,040,007 by Junichi shows particles having irregular shapes, which are similar to those disclosed by Hayashi and Kato;
- (b) neither Hayashi ad Kato teaches controlling the raw material solution at a constant temperature;
- (c) as shown in Table and page 38 of the disclosure, batteries having higher capacity and longer cycle life are obtained by improving both the particle circularity and the particle size uniformity of the active material.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087 Page 6 of 8

Art Unit: 1745

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

- (a) The assignee of Junichi reference is Tanaka Chemical Corporation, which is different from that of Hayashi and Kato (both are owned by Matsushita Electric Industrial Co.).

 Applicant's allegation seems to be speculative and is not supported by the circumstantial evidence;
- (b) Hayashi reference identifies pH and stirring of the solution as processing parameters, whereas Kato references have identifies pH and temperature of the solution as the critical processing variables, in the fabrication of positive electrode active material. See Paragraphs 3,4 above. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the pH and the degree of mixing of the solution to yield nickel hydroxide or nickel oxyhydroxide powders of desired circularity and distribution of circularity. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art.* In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215;
- (c) Table 1 shows four batteries (1 through 4) that employ anode active materials of Example 1 to 4, respectively. The powder of Example 1 has a mean circularity of 0.95 and the number of particles having a circularity of not larger than 0.85 accounts for 10% of the number of total particles. See instant disclosure page 27, lines 2-15. The resulting battery of example 1 exhibits similar battery capacity, percentage value and capacity maintenance rate in comparison with batteries of examples 2, 3 and 4, despite the fact that the number of particle having a circularity of not larger than 0.85 is more than 5%. It would be inconsistent to conclude that specific circularity and circularity distribution as recited in claim 1 are critical in obtaining

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087

Art Unit: 1745

battery of improved and unexpected performance. The burden is on Applicant to establish results that are unexpected and significant. See MPEP 716.02(a) and (b).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,087 Page 8 of 8

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delive /

Dah-Wei D. Yuan January 6, 2005